

Scott A. McMillan, Cal. Bar. No. 212506
Evan Kalooky, Cal. Bar. No. 247851
THE MC MILLAN LAW FIRM, APC
4670 Nebo Drive, Suite 200
La Mesa, California 91941-5230
Telephone: (619) 464-1500 x 14
Facsimile: (206) 600-5095

Attorneys for Lyme Regis Partners, LLC, a New York Limited Liability Company

Appearing Pro Hac Vice

**UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

In re

BLOCKBUSTER, INC., *et al.*,

Debtors.

Chapter 11

Case No.: 10-14997 (BRL)

(Jointly Administered)

**LYME REGIS PARTNERS, LLC'S OBJECTION TO THE AUTHORIZATION
TO EMPLOY AND RETAIN WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP**

TO THE HONORABLE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE AND TO
DEBTORS AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD HEREIN:

Creditor LYME REGIS PARTNERS, LLC (“Lyme Regis” and/or “Creditor”), by
and through undersigned counsel, respectfully submits the following Objection to the
requested Authorization to Employ Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP (“WG&M” and/or
“Debtor’s Counsel”), pursuant to 11 U.S.C. § 327. This Objection is made on the ground
that Debtor’s Counsel is not disinterested within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 101(14).

This Objection is based upon the Debtors' Application Pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §§ 327(a) and 328(a) of the Bankruptcy Code and Federal R. Bankr. P. 2014(a) and 2016, for Authorization to Employ and Retain Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP [Docket No. 22, Filed 9/23/2010] ("Authorization to Employ"), along with the supporting Affidavit of Stephen Karotkin ("Karotkin Affidavit"), and the Disclosure Statement of Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP.

Lyme Regis Partners, LLC requests that the Court grant the relief requested herein.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: October 12, 2010

THE McMILLAN LAW FIRM, APC

/s/ Scott A. McMillan

Scott A. McMillan
Attorney for Creditor,
Lyme Regis Partners, LLC

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	ARGUMENT	2
A.	Debtor's Counsel, Lacking the Disinterestedness Required by 11 U.S.C. § 101(14) for it's Appointment, Should be Disqualified Under 11 U.S.C. § 327(a).	2
i.	WG&M Is Not Disinterested Because of Its Representation of Movie Studios	3
ii.	WG&M Is Not Disinterested Because of Its Representation of Other Unsecured Creditors	4
iii.	WG&M's Disclosures Do Not Adequately Ensure WG&M Does Not Have Adverse Interests that Would Disqualify Them as Counsel	5
iv.	WG&M Intentionally Failed To Disclose Its Representation of All Adverse Individuals or Entities, as Well as All Former Clients that May Create a Conflict of Interest.	7
B.	Because It Is Disqualified for Appointment Under 11 U.S.C. § 327(a), WG&M Should be Ordered to Return Any Fees It Has Received in this Matter.	8
III.	CONCLUSION	9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Federal Decisional Authorities

<i>Daido Steel Co., Ltd. v. Official Comm. of Unsecured Creditors</i> , 178 B.R. 129 (Bankr. N.D. OH 1995)	5
<i>Dye v. Brown (In re AFI Holding, Inc.)</i> , 355 B.R. 139 (9th Cir. BAP 2006)	3
<i>In re 3dfx Interactive, Inc.</i> , 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 1941 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. June 1, 2007)	3
<i>In re Crivello</i> , 134 F.3d 831 (7th Cir. 1998)	2, 7
<i>In re EWC, Inc.</i> , 138 B.R. 276 (Bankr. W.D OK, 1992)	7
<i>In re Fretter, Inc., et al.</i> , 219 BR. 769 (Bankr. N.D. OH, 1998)	7, 8
<i>In re Granite Partners, L.P.</i> , 219 B.R. 22 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 1998)	4
<i>In re Greystone Holdings, L.L.C.</i> , 305 B.R. 456 (N.D. OH 1998)	2
<i>In re Jore Corp</i> , 298 B.R. 703 (Bankr. Dist. MO, 2003)	7
<i>In re Midway Indus, Contractors, Inc.</i> , 272 B.R. 651 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2001)	3
<i>In re National Liquidators, Inc.</i> , 182 B.R. 186 (S.D. OH 1995)	7
<i>In re Petro-Serve Ltd.</i> , 97 B.R. 856 (Bankr. S.D. MS, 1989)	3, 8
<i>In re Roberts</i> , 46 B.R. 815 (Bankr. D. Utah 1985)	3
<i>In re Rusty Jones, Inc.</i> , 134 B.R. 321 (Bankr. N.C. Ill. 1991.)	4
<i>Magten v. Paul Hastings</i> , 346 B.R. 84 (D.DE 2006)	2, 3, 7
<i>Woods v. City Nat'l Bank</i> , 312 U.S. 262 (1940)	8

Federal Statutory Authorities

11 U.S.C. § 101(14)(1)	1
11 U.S.C. § 101(14)(e)	2
11 U.S.C. § 1103(b)	5
11 U.S.C. § 327	2
11 U.S.C. § 327(a)	1, 2, 7

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I. INTRODUCTION

On September 23, 2010, Debtors Blockbuster Inc., *et al.*, (“Blockbuster” and/or “Debtors”) submitted their Chapter 11 petitions in bankruptcy and application for authorization to employ Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP (“WG&M”). An affidavit of Stephen Karotkin, a member of WG&M, was attached to the Application (“Karotkin Affidavit”), which purported to disclose potential conflicts of interest WG&M might have as Counsel to the Debtors. Lyme Regis Partners, LLC (“Lyme Regis” and/or “Creditor”) objects to the retention of WG&M because it is not “disinterested,” and was not entirely candid in its disclosures as it wilfully failed to disclose all connections which might render WG&M not disinterested within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. § 327(a) and 11 U.S.C. § 101(14).

First, this Court should find that WG&M is not disinterested within the meaning of 11 U.S.C. 327(a) and 11 U.S.C. 101(14), because its representation of other clients will color its independence and impartiality.

Second, this Court should disqualify WG&M as Counsel to the Debtors as a result of their lack of disinterestedness.

Third, this Court should disallow fees previously awarded to WG&M, upon a finding that WG&M wilfully neglected to disclose all of its actual or potential conflicts of interest. Indeed, WG&M has demonstrated its bias at the outset of this case, in filing a

motion to have the movie studios that it represents paid in full on pre-petition obligations, to the detriment of other unsecured creditors it does not represent.¹

II. ARGUMENT

A. Debtors' Counsel, Lacking the Disinterestedness Required by 11 U.S.C.

§ 101(14) for Appointment, Should be Disqualified Under 11 U.S.C. § 327(a)

11 U.S.C. § 327 requires that any professionals appointed to represent the debtor “not hold or represent an interest adverse to the estate” and that they be “disinterested persons.” (11 U.S.C. § 327(a).) A “disinterested person” is one who “does not have an interest materially adverse to the estate . . . by reason of any direct or indirect relationship to . . . the debtor . . . or for any other reason.” (11 U.S.C. § 101(14)(e).) This definition is sufficiently broad to include any professional with an interest or relationship that would even faintly color the independence and impartial attitude required by the Code.

(*In re Greystone Holdings, L.L.C.*, 305 B.R. 456, 460-461 (N.D. OH 1998), citing *In re Crivello*, 134 F.3d 831 (7th Cir. 1998).)

This Court has the discretion to determine whether a potential conflict is disqualifying for purposes of 11 U.S.C. 327(a). (*Magten v. Paul Hastings*, 346 B.R. 84 (D.DE 2006).) “The term adverse interest is not defined in the Bankruptcy Code. A generally accepted definition of adverse interest is: (1) possession or assertion of an

¹Lyme Regis Partners, LLC, are also opposing Debtors' motion to allow prepetition payments to the Movie Studios and Game Providers in a separate motion.

economic interest that would tend to lessen the value of the bankruptcy estate; (2) possession or assertion of an economic interest that would create either an actual or potential dispute in which the estate is a rival claimant; or (3) possession of a predisposition under circumstances that create a bias against the estate.” (*In re 3dfx Interactive, Inc.*, 2007 Bankr. LEXIS 1941 (Bankr. N.D. Cal. June 1, 2007), citing *In re Roberts*, 46 B.R. 815, 827 (Bankr. D. Utah 1985), aff'd in part, rev'd and remanded in part on other grounds, 75 B.R. 402 (D. Utah 1987); See also, *Dye v. Brown* (*In re AFI Holding, Inc.*), 355 B.R. 139 (9th Cir. BAP 2006) [recognizing this definition].)

Further, the affiliation of an attorney whose interests with the debtors make him a not disinterested person under section 101(14), creates a relationship with others affiliated with the firm that requires disqualification of the entire group from representation of the debtors. (*In re Petro-Serve Ltd.*, 97 B.R. 856, 861 (S.D. MS 1989).) Because of the broad spectrum of its other clients, WG&M is not disinterested within the meaning of the law, and thus cannot represent the Debtors in this action.

i. WG&M Is Not Disinterested Because of Its Representation of Movie Studios

WG&M maintains that all of the dual representations listed in Karotkin's Affidavit are “unrelated” to the present matter. However, Debtors' Motion for leave to pay the pre-petition claims of movie studios and game providers, filed contemporaneously with the application for employment, raises serious doubts as to this assertion. Many of WG&M's

current clients listed in the disclosures are the very same movie studios that Debtors are seeking, through a motion presented by WG&M, to pay in full for prepetition obligations.

The premature payment of the movie studios and game providers could well impact the interests not only of the other secured creditors but of the Debtors as well. To the extent that the Debtors unnecessarily try to favor WG&M's clients over other creditors with equal claims on their assets (as they are doing in the motion WG&M already filed on Debtors' behalf), Debtors will be impeded from reaching a prompt and equitable resolution of these other claims. The result is likely to be needless litigation that, in the end, will only make the bankruptcy longer and more expensive for Debtors.

In its Disclosure, WG&M fails to distinguish which of the studios it lists are secured and/or unsecured Studios. One example is Sony Home Pictures Entertainment, which according to WG&M's disclosure, is a movie studio that supplies Debtors and a current client to WG&M. Elsewhere, in the Motion for prepetition payment, Sony Pictures Home Entertainment is listed as one of the Major Studios that will benefit if the Motion is granted. (Prepetition motion, Filed September 23, Docket 3, ¶ 22.) This clearly is a conflict of interest, one that more than faintly colors the supposed independence and impartiality of WG&M required by the Code.

ii. WG&M Is Not Disinterested Because of Its Representation of Other Unsecured Creditors

There are at least eight instances in which a current client of WG&M is also listed

as an unsecured creditor of Blockbuster. (See, Karotkin Affidavit, Exhibit 2, pp. 12, 14, 18.) WG&M has not disclosed the nature of the representation of its clients in those other matters, so this Court cannot properly evaluate WG&M's potential conflicts. WG&M merely asks the parties and this Court to take WG&M's word that the representation is "unrelated," which does not satisfy the requirements for demonstrating disinterestedness.

iii. WG&M's Disclosures Do Not Adequately Ensure WG&M Does Not Have Adverse Interests that Would Disqualify Them as Counsel

"The disclosures in Rule 2014 Affidavit must be explicit enough for the court and other parties to gauge whether the person to be employed is not disinterested or holds an adverse interest." (*In re Midway Indus, Contractors, Inc.*, 272 B.R. 651, 662 (Bankr. N.D. Ill. 2001).) "The court should not have to 'rummage through files or conduct independent fact finding investigations to determine if the professional is disqualified.'" (*In re Granite Partners*, L.P., 219 B.R. 22, 32-33 (Bankr. S.D. N.Y. 1998), quoting *In re Rusty Jones, Inc.*, 134 B.R. 321, 345 (Bankr. N.C. Ill. 1991).)

In *In re Granite*, the affidavit included a disclosure of its connections with a brokerage firm, but not the full extent of the conflict. The affidavit stated that the firm had represented parties in interest in the past on unrelated transactions, and might represent them in future unrelated transactions. (*In re Granite, supra*, at 27.) Consequently, representation was disallowed. (*Id.* at 36-40.)

Similarly, Stephen Karotkin states in paragraph 4:

“WG&M has in the past represented, currently represents, and may in the future represent entities that are claimants or interest holders of the Debtors in matters unrelated to the Chapter 11 case.” (Docket No. 22, Filed 9/23/2010.)

WG&M’s disclosures do not meet the standard as they employ the type of boilerplate language that courts disfavor. The disclosures must be explicit so this Court can make an adequate determination whether WG&M is in fact disinterested.

Furthermore, it is impossible to ascertain whether WG&M is truly disinterested because of the sheer number of connections listed in the Affidavit. WG&M currently represents approximately 367² clients who have or may have an interest adverse to Debtors Blockbuster in the instant bankruptcy action. (See, Karotkin Affidavit, Exhibit 2.) Although WG&M maintains that these clients are represented in matters “unrelated” to this bankruptcy action, the number of clients is far too numerous to ensure there is no conflict of interest. There may well be a direct adverse interest in one of these numerous current clients that will arise during this bankruptcy action, but that the Court cannot perceive at this stage. At a minimum, there exists an indirect relationship to Debtors through the representation of these 367 clients. Such indirect relationships are enough to color the impartial and disinterested attitude required of WG&M to represent the Debtors in this action.

²This number does not reflect WG&M’s 17 former clients who pose a potential conflict, as listed in Stephen Karotkin’s affidavit.

iv. WG&M Intentionally Failed To Disclose Its Representation of All Adverse Individuals or Entities, as Well as All Former Clients that May Create a Conflict of Interest.

The Court has the discretion to determine whether a potential conflict is disqualifying for purposes of 11 U.S.C. § 327(a). (*Magten v. Paul Hastings*, 346 B.R. 84 (Dist. Ct. D.DE, 2006).) In order for the court to properly determine the disinterestedness of professionals under 11 U.S.A. § 327(a), such professionals are required to disclose all connections with the debtor, creditors, and any other party in interest under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2014. “No matter how old the connection, no matter how trivial it appears, the professional seeking employment must disclose it.” (*In re Jore Corp*, 298 B.R 703, 725 (Bankr. Dist. MO, 2003), quoting *In re EWC, Inc.*, 138 B.R. 276. 280-81 (Bankr. W.D OK, 1992) [emphasis added].) Attorneys cannot just pick and choose which connections to disclose, and negligence is no excuse. (*In re Fretter, Inc., et al.*, 219 BR. 769, 776 (Bankr. N.D. OH, 1998), citing *In re National Liquidators, Inc.*, 182 B.R. 186 (S.D. OH 1995).)

The Court is to consider whether the failure to disclose was intentional, and if any evidence exists to support an inference of intent, the Court should not accept the professional’s story of confusion, mis-communication, or negligence. Rather, the Court should punish a willful failure to disclose the connections required under Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2014 as severely as an attempt to put forth a fraud upon the court.

(*In re Crivello*, 134 F.3d 831, 839 (7th Cir. 1998).)

WG&M wilfully violated Federal Rule of Bankruptcy Procedure 2014 in three distinct ways: (1) it failed to disclose the true nature of its relationship with the Movie Studios in the application for employment; (2) it disclosed former client activity only for the past two years (See, Affidavit, FN #3); and (3) it has deleted all obvious “individuals and entities that were adverse to WG&M’s clients in both this matter and the matter references on the Match list.” (See, Karotkin Affidavit ¶ 5(e).) These actions by WG&M fail to meet the disclosure rules, and should be deemed willful failures.

**B. Because It Is Disqualified for Appointment Under 11 U.S.C. § 327(a),
WG&M Should be Ordered to Return Any Fees It Has Received in this
Matter**

In cases where counsel has been disqualified for want of disinterestedness, disqualified counsel were required to return fees awarded for work performed during the pendency of its appointment. Where fees had been awarded, they were disallowed. (*In re Fretter, supra*, at 541, *In re Petro Serve, supra*, at 867.) Where an actual conflict of interest exists, no more need be shown to support a denial of compensation; failure to disclose those facts giving rise to a conflict of interest is grounds for denial of compensation. (*In re Petro-Serve, supra* at 861, citing *Woods v. City Nat'l Bank*, 312 U.S. 262 (1940).)

WG&M has already collected approximately \$4.6 million for professional services

and expenses incurred in connection with representation of debtors. (See, Karotkin Affidavit, ¶ 16.) It currently holds approximable \$270,000 of advanced retainer. (*Id.*) As discussed above, WG&M has actual and/or potential conflicts of interests in its representation of the Blockbuster Debtors. WG&M is fully aware of whom it represents, and for what matters. Yet, WG&M intentionally failed to disclose all these connections in its application for employment. Therefore, fee disallowance for WG&M is most appropriate.

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated above, the firm Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP, should be disqualified as appointed counsel for the Debtors, Blockbuster Inc., *et al.* To the extent that fees have been paid to WG&M, it is, as disqualified counsel, obligated to return all such fees.

Respectfully Submitted,

Date: October 12, 2010

THE McMILLAN LAW FIRM, APC

/s/ Scott A. McMillan

Scott A. McMillan
Attorney for Creditor,
Lyme Regis Partners, LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that on October 12, 2010, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document was served upon all parties on the attached Master Service List via e-mail or United States first class mail, postage prepaid, as indicated, in accordance with the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure and by e-mail upon the parties that receive notifications in this case pursuant to the Court's ECF system.

/s/ Scott A. McMillan
Scott A. McMillan

MASTER SERVICE LIST
 (As of October 5, 2010)
VIA E-MAIL ECF

Akin Gump Strauss Hauer and Feld LLP Attn Nancy C Rush Esq 1700 Pacific Ave Ste 4100 Dallas, TX 75201-4675 nrush@akingump.com	Buchalter Nemer Pamela K Webster 1000 Wilshire Blvd Ste 1500 Los Angeles, CA 90017 pwebster@buchalter.com
Andrews Kurth LLP Attn Jonathan I Levine 450 Lexington Ave 15th Fl New York, NY 10017 jonathanlevine@andrewskurth.com	Carrington Coleman Sloman & Blumenthal LLP Stephen A Goodwin 901 Main St Ste 5500 Dallas, TX 75202 sgoodwin@ccsb.com
Arapahoe County Treasurer George Rosenberg 5334 S Prince St Littleton, CO 80166 grosenberg@co.arapahoe.co.us jholmgren@co.arapahoe.co.us kthompsen@co.arapahoe.co.us	Centerbridge Partners Attn: Steve Silver and Will Manuel wmanuel@centerbridge.com
Ballard and Spahr LLP David L Pollack & Jeffrey Meyers 1735 Market St Philadelphia, PA 19103 pollack@ballardspahr.com	Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP Jeffery C Wisler & Christina M Thompson The Nemours Bldg 1007 N Orange St PO Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899 jwisler@cblh.com cthompson@cblh.com
Bartlett Hackett Feinberg PC Frank F McGinn 155 Federal St 9th Fl Boston, MA 02110-0000 ffm@bostonbusinesslaw.com	Connolly Bove Lodge & Hutz LLP Karen C Bifferato The Nemours Bldg 1007 N Orange St PO Box 2207 Wilmington, DE 19899 kbifferato@cblh.com
Brown McCarroll LLP Lynn Hamilton Butler 111 Congress Ave Ste 1400 Austin, TX 78701 lbutter@mailmc.com	Cooley LLP Jay R Indyke Richard S Kanowitz Jeffrey L Cohen & Seth Van Aalten 1114 Ave of the Americas New York, NY 10036 jindyke@cooley.com rkanowitz@cooley.com jcohen@cooley.com svanaalten@cooley.com
County Attorney Belkys Escobar One Harrison St SE 5th Fl Leesburg, VA 20175-3102	David M Blau & Paul S Magy c/o Kupelian Ormond & Magy PC 25800 Northwestern Hwy Ste 950 Southfield, MI 48075

belkys.escobar@loudoun.gov	dmb@kompc.com psm@kompc.com
County Attorneys Office Melissa S Thornton 2810 Stephen P Clark Ctr 111 N W First St Miami, FL 33128-1993 mst4@miamidade.gov cao.bkc@miamidade.gov	DLA Piper LLP US Attn Timothy W Walsh & Jason M Karaffa 1251 Ave of the Americas New York, NY 10020-1104 timothy.walsh@dlapiper.com jason.karaffa@dlapiper.com
Emmet Marvin & Martin LLP Attn Edward P Zujkowski Esq 120 Broadway 32nd Floor New York, NY 10271 ezujkowski@emmetmarvin.com	EPB of Chattanooga Kathryn G Smith PO Box 182255 Chattanooga, TN 37422-9969 smithkg@epb.com
Erman Teicher Miller Zucker & Freedman PC David M Eisenberg 400 Galleria Officentre Ste 444 Southfield, MI 48034 deisenberg@ermanteicher.com	Erman Teicher Miller Zucker & Freedman PC Earle Erman 400 Galleria Officentre Ste 444 Southfield, MI 48034 deisenberg@ermanteicher.com
Faegre and Benson LLP Elizabeth K Flaagan 1700 Lincoln St Ste 3200 Denver, CO 80203-4532 eflaagan@faegre.com	Frost Brown Todd LLC Ronald E Gold & Joseph Bwells 2200 PNC Center 201 E Fifth St Cincinnati, OH 45202-4182 rgold@fbtlaw.com jbwells@fbtlaw.com
Gay McCall Isaacks Gordon & Roberts PC David B McCall 777 E 15th St Plano, TX 75074 bankruptcy@ntexas-attorneys.com	Gohn Hankey & Stichel LLP Jan I Berlage 201 N Charles St Ste 2101 Baltimore, MD 21201 jberlage@ghslp.com
Granite Capital Partners Attn: Dan Schreiber dan@gfgsd.com	Harris McClellan Binau & Cox Ralph E Dill 37 W Broad St Columbus, OH 43215 rdill@hmbc.com
Highbridge Capital Management LLC Attn: Jonathan Segal 40 West 57th Street 32nd Floor New York, NY 10019 jonathan.segal@highbridge.com	International Paper Ronald Borcky 4049 Willow Lake Blvd Memphis, TN 38118 ronald.borcky@ipaper.com
Iridian Asset Management LLC Attn: Ben Hunt and Jeff Silver bhunt@iridian.com JSilver@iridian.com	Kelley Drye & Warren LLP James S Carr & Robert L LeHane 101 Park Ave New York, NY 10178 KDWBankruptcyDepartment@kellydrye.com

Khlehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg LLP Jeffrey Kurtzman 1835 Market St Ste 1400 Philadelphia PA 19103 jkurtzman@klehr.com	Landsberg & Associates Ian S Landsberg 16030 Ventura Blvd Ste 470 Encino CA 91436 ilandsberg@landsberg-law.com
Law Offices of Robert E Luna PC Andrea Sheehan 4411 N Central Expressway Dallas TX 75205 Sheehan@txschoollaw.com	Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP Elizabeth Weller 2323 Bryan St Ste 1600 Dallas TX 75201 dallas.bankruptcy@publicans.com
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP John P Dillman PO Box 3064 Houston TX 77253-3064 houston.bankruptcy@publicans.com	Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP Diane W Sanders PO Box 17428 Austin TX 78760-7428 austin.bankruptcy@publicans.com
Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP Omer F Kuebel III C Davin Boldissar 601 Poydras St Ste 2660 New Orleans LA 70130-6036 nobankecf@lockelord.com	Locke Lord Bissell & Liddell LLP W Steven Bryant 600 Travis St Ste 2800 Houston TX 77702 hobankecf@lockelord.com sbryant@lockelord.com
Menter Rudin & Trivelpiece PC Kevin M Newman 308 Maltbie St Ste 200 Syracuse NY 13204-1498 knewman@menterlaw.com	Mirick O'Connell DeMallie & Lougee LLP Joseph H Baldiga 1700 W Park Dr Westborough MA 01581 jbaldiga@mirickoconnell.com
Morgan Lewis & Bockius LLP Neil E Herman 101 Park Ave New York NY 10178 Nherman@morganlewis.com	Office of the United States Trustee Brian Masumoto Esq 33 Whitehall St 21st Fl New York NY 10004 brian.masumoto@usdoj.gov
Pachulski Stang Ziehl & Jones LLP Attn Robert J Feinstein Esq 780 Third Ave 36th Fl New York NY 10017-2024 rfeinstein@pszjlaw.com	Paul Weiss Andy Rosenberg 1285 Avenue of the Americas New York NY 10019-6064 arosenberg@paulweiss.com
Perdue Brandon Fielder Collins & Mott LLP Elizabeth Banda Calvo PO Box 13430 Arlington TX 76094-0430 ebcalvo@pbfcml.com	Perdue Brandon Fielder Collins & Mott LLP Yolanda M Humphrey 1235 N Loop W Ste 600 Houston TX 77008 yhumphrey@pbfcml.com
Perdue Brandon Fielder Collins & Mott LLP Owen M Sonik 1235 N Loop W Ste 600 Houston TX 77008 osonik@pbfcml.com	Perdue Brandon Fielder Collins & Mott LLP John T Banks 3301 Northland Dr Ste 505 Austin TX 78731 jbanks@pbfcml.com

<p>Resurgence Asset Management Attn: Paul Fratamico paul@resurgenceam.com</p>	<p>Ross Banks May Cron & Cavin PC James V Lombardi III 2 Riverway Ste 700 Houston TX 77056 jlombardi@rossbanks.com</p>
<p>Saiber LLC Michael Grohs Vincent F Papalia Una Young Kang 18 Columbia Turnpike Ste 200 Florham Park NJ 07932 vpapalia@saiber.com ukang@saiber.com</p>	<p>Saul Ewing LLP Mark Minuti 222 Delaware Ave Ste 1200 PO Box 1266 Wilmington DE 19899 mminuti@saul.com</p>
<p>Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP Kathryn N Richter One Montgomery St Ste 2200 San Francisco CA 94104-5501 krichter@schnader.com</p>	<p>Schnader Harrison Segal & Lewis LLP Benjamin P Deutsch 140 Broadway Ste 3100 New York NY 10005-1101 bdeutsch@schnader.com</p>
<p>Securities and Exchange Commission Northeast Regional 233 Broadway John Murray The Woolworth Bldg New York NY 10279 secbankruptcy@sec.gov</p>	<p>Sessions Fishman Nathan & Israel LLC J David Forsyth 201 St Charles Ave Ste 3815 New Orleans LA 70170 jdf@sessions-law.com</p>
<p>Seyfarth Shaw LLP Robert W Dremluk 620 Eight Ave 32nd Fl New York NY 10018 rdremluk@seyfarth.com</p>	<p>Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP Attn Kyle J Mathews Esq 333 South Hope St Fl 43 Los Angeles CA 90071 kmathews@sheppardmullin.com</p>
<p>Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton LLP Kyle Matthew 333 S Hope St 43rd Fl Los Angeles CA 90071-1422 KMathews@sheppardmullin.com</p>	<p>Sidley Austin LLP Attn James Seery Esq 787 Seventh Ave New York NY 10019 jseery@sidley.com</p>
<p>Simon Property Group Inc Attn Ronal M Tucker 225 W Washington St Indianapolis IN 46204 rtucker@simon.com</p>	<p>Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP Attn Peter J Neckles Esq; Alexandra Margolis & Jason Putter Four Times Square New York NY 10036 peter.neckles@skadden.com alexandra.margolis@skadden.com Jason.Putter@skadden.com</p>
<p>Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom LLP Mark S Chehi One Rodney Sq PO Box 636 Wilmington DE 19899 Bjorn.Sorenson@skadden.com Alexandra.Margolis@skadden.com</p>	<p>Stone Leyton & Gershman E Rebecca Case & Howard S Smotkin 7733 Forsyth Blvd Ste 500 St Louis MO 63105 erc@stoneleyton.com hss@stoneleyton.com</p>

Mark.Chehi@skadden.com	
The Bank of New York Mellon Attn Stuart Rothenberg VP 101 Barclay Street 8W Corporate Trust Default Group New York NY 10286 Stuart.Rothenberg@bnymellon.com	The Bank of New York Mellon Raymond Torres 101 Barclay Street 8W Corporate Trust Default Group New York NY 10286 Raymond.Torres@bnymellon.com
The Bank of New York Mellon Chris Matthews 601 Travis 16th Fl Houston TX 77002 j.chris.matthews@bnymellon.com	The Bank of New York Mellon Dennis Roemlein 601 Travis 16th Fl Houston TX 77002 dennis.roemlein@bnymellon.com
The Michaelson Law Firm Robert N Michaelson 11 Broadway Ste 615 New York NY 10004 rnm@michaelsonlawfirm.com	Trainor Fairbrook Jennifer L Pruski & Nancy Hotchkiss PO Box 255824 Sacramento CA 95825 nhotchkiss@trainorfairbrook.com
Trenam Kemker Scharf Barkin Frye O'Neill & Mullis PA Lori V Vaughan 101 E Kennedy Blvd Bank of America Plz Ste 2700 Tampa FL 33602 lv Vaughan@trenam.com	US Attorney General US Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Ave NW Washington DC 20530-0001 askdoj@usdoj.gov
Wachtell Lipton Rosen & Katz Philip Mindlin & Eric M Rosof & Caith Kushner 51 W 52nd St New York NY 10019-6150 pmindlin@wlrk.com emrosof@wlrk.com ckushner@wlrk.com	Wilmington Trust FSB Attn Joshua G James 50 S 6th St Ste 1290 Minneapolis MN 55402 jjames@WilmingtonTrust.com

VIA FIRST CLASS MAIL

Weil Gotshal & Manges LLP Stephen Karotkin Esq and Martin A Sosland Esq767 Fifth Avenue New York NY 10153	Blockbuster Inc Attn Rod McDonald 1201 Elm Street Dallas, TX 72570
AT&T Services Inc James W Grudus General Attorney One AT&T Way Room 3A218 Bedminster, NJ 07921	David A Segal 3523 McKinney Avenue No 611 Dallas, TX 75204
Goulston & Storrs PC Christine D Lynch Peter D Bilowz 400 Atlantic Ave Boston, MA 02110-3333	Integrated Process Technologies James Reavey President CEO 10 Columbus Blvd 4th Floor a k a FM Facility Maintenance Hartford, CT 06106
Internal Revenue Service Centralized Insolvency Operation 11601 Roosevelt Blvd Mail Drop N781 Philadelphia PA 19255-0002	Internal Revenue Service Centralized Insolvency Operation PO Box 21126 Philadelphia PA 19114-0326
Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP Thomas J Leanse & Dustin P Branh 2029 Century Park E Ste 2600 Los Angeles CA 90067-3012	Lasser Hochman LLC Richard L Zucker 75 Eisenhower Pkwy Roseland NJ 07068-0000
Linebarger Goggan Blair & Sampson LLP David G Aelvoet 711 Navarro Ste 300 Travis Building San Antonio TX 78205	Missouri Department of Revenue Steven A Ginther PO Box 475 Bankruptcy Unit Jefferson City MO 65105-0475
McCreary Veselka Bragg & Allen PC Michael Reed PO Box 1269 Round Rock TX 78680	Office of US Attorney SDNY Preet Bharara 1 St Andrews Plaza Claims Unit Rm 417 New York NY 10007
Office of New York State Attorney General Andrew M Cuomo 120 Broadway New York NY 10271	Robinson Brog Leinwand Greene Genovese & Gluck PC Robert R Leinwand 875 3rd Ave 9th Fl New York NY 10022
Scott Siegel 1 Granada Lane Atlantic Beach NY 11509	Secretary of State 123 William St New York NY 10038-3804
Secretary of State Division of Corporations 99 Washington Ave Ste 600 One Commerce Plz	Teich Groh Barry W Frost 691 State Hwy 33 Trenton, NJ 08619-0000

Albany NY 12231-0001	
Sparber Annen Morris & Gabriel APLC Todd R Gabriel 701 B St Ste 1400 San Diego CA 92101	The Bank of New York Trust Company NA Attn Corporate Trust 600 N Pearl St Ste 420 Dallas TX 75201
The Bank of New York Mellon Trust Company NA Attention Stuart Rothenberg Vice President 101 Barclay Street 8 West New York NY 10286	US Department of Justice SDNY 86 Chambers St New York NY 10007
Universal Studios Home Entertainment LLC John Roussey Vice President Credit 100 Universal City Plaza 1440 6th Floor Universal City CA 91608	Weingarten Realty Jenny J Hyun Vice President Associate General Counsel 2600 Citadel Plaza Drive Suite 125 Houston TX 77008
Wasserman Jurista & Stolz PC Steven Z Jurista 225 Millburn Ave Ste 207 PO Box 1029 Millburn NJ 07041-0000	Wheelis & Rozanski Mr Stephen D Wheelis PO Box 13199 Alexandria LA 71315-3199